

Yarra Ranges Shire Council

Group: interface

This fact sheet uses graphs and data to examine this council's revenue, expenditure and financial sustainability over recent years. The information aims to help readers understand the impacts of rate capping. This was introduced in 2016–17 to restrict the amount councils can increase their general rates and municipal charges each financial year.

You can compare this council's data against its 'group' by looking at the fact sheet for interface councils. Further information is available at http://www.esc.vic.gov.au/outcomes-reports. You'll find an interactive version of this fact sheet, a reader's guide to help you understand key terms and information about the sector as a whole.




© OpenStreetMap contributors

Key facts

Population (June 2021):	157,419
Size (km²):	2,468
Length of local roads (km):	1,761
Population per km of roads:	89
Council employees (FTE, 2021–22):	715
Higher cap approved for any year between 2016–17 and 2021–22	No

Rates

What happened to average rates and charges (2021–22 dollars)?



Average rates and charges	Applicable rate cap
\$2,221	2.50%
\$2,221	2.00%
\$2,274	2.25%
\$2,285	2.50%
\$2,316	2.00%
\$2,299	1.50%
	rates and \$2,221 \$2,221 \$2,274 \$2,285 \$2,316

Rates (continued)

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

Did council's average rates comply with the applicable rate caps?								
2020–21 (2.00%)	2021–22 (1.50%)	2022–23 (1.75%)						
Yes	Yes	Yes						

How did rates change for different ratepayers?

Council's rating strategy 2022-23

Council applies 4 differential rates for different types of property and recovers the cost of waste services using service charges. The adopted budget contains more information about rate categories and charges.

Distribution of rates increases and decreases

The applicable rate cap is applied to council's average rate. So, some individual rates increased by more and some increased by less than the applicable cap (or even decreased).


2020–21	28%	33%	,)	39%
2021–22	36%	12%		52%
2022–23	32%	14%		54%

[%] of rates notices decreasing

■ % of rates notices increasing by less than the applicable cap

□ % of rates notices increasing by more than the applicable cap

Ratepayers by property class (2021–22 dollars)



Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited data). Includes both capped and uncapped rates and charges. 'Other' category of property class has been omitted.

Revenue

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.


Where did council's money come from?

Revenue (2021–22 \$m and % of total revenue)

	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		202 ⁻	1–22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Rates and charges	151.4	(70%)	154.0	(71%)	153.2	(68%)	157.7	(63%)
User fees and statutory fees and fines	10.6	(5%)	9.8	(5%)	8.4	(4%)	9.2	(4%)
Grants	47.1	(22%)	46.2	(21%)	53.2	(24%)	75.7	(30%)
Contributions	4.6	(2%)	4.3	(2%)	6.8	(3%)	3.7	(1%)
Other	3.5	(2%)	2.3	(1%)	2.2	(1%)	2.1	(1%)
Total	217.2		216.7		223.9		248.4	

Yarra Ranges Shire Council's total revenue increased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22, reflecting increases in revenue from grants and rates and charges.

Rates and charges was the largest source of revenue, accounting for 68 per cent of total revenue between 2018–19 and 2021–22.



In terms of revenue per person (which adjusts for changes in population), revenue from rates and charges, and grants increased between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This compares with a downward trend in revenue per person from user fees and statutory fees and fines and 'other' revenue.

Expenditure

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

How much money did council spend?


Expenditure (2021–22 \$m and % of total expenditure)

	2018–19		2019–20		202	0–21	2021–22	
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Operating expenditure	160.8	(74%)	170.8	(73%)	169.1	(74%)	179.7	(73%)
Employee costs	63.0	(29%)	66.7	(28%)	74.8	(33%)	70.3	(28%)
Materials and services	84.3	(39%)	86.7	(37%)	82.0	(36%)	98.5	(40%)
Other operating expenditure	13.5	(6%)	17.4	(7%)	12.2	(5%)	10.8	(4%)
Capital expenditure	56.6	(26%)	63.8	(27%)	59.3	(26%)	68.2	(27%)
Total	217.4		234.5		228.4		247.9	

Yarra Ranges Shire Council's total expenditure increased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This reflected increases in expenditure on materials and services, capital expenditure and expenditure on employee costs.

Materials and services was the largest area of expenditure, accounting for 38 per cent of total expenditure between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Expenditure per person (2021–22 dollars)



In terms of expenditure per person (which adjusts for changes in population), council's employee costs, expenditure on materials and services and capital expenditure increased between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This compares with a downward trend in 'other operating expenditure' per person.

Infrastructure


Did council's capital expenditure pattern change?

Capital expenditure (2021–22 \$m and % of total capital expenditure)

	201	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		-22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Renewal	31.6	(56%)	29.5	(46%)	21.9	(37%)	20.7	(30%)
Upgrade	10.5	(18%)	16.0	(25%)	17.5	(29%)	25.5	(37%)
Expansion	4.9	(9%)	4.8	(8%)	7.9	(13%)	7.2	(10%)
New	9.7	(17%)	13.4	(21%)	12.1	(20%)	14.8	(22%)
Total	56.6		63.8		59.3		68.2	

Yarra Ranges Shire Council's spending on asset renewal trended downwards in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22. Asset renewal accounted for the highest share of capital expenditure over this period (42 per cent).

Council's spending on all other categories increased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22.



Council's spending on renewing and upgrading assets trended upwards in real terms, despite fluctuating between 2018–19 and 2021–22. Renewal and upgrade expenditure remained above the amount of depreciation (the decline in value of council's assets caused by age and use) between 2018–19 and 2021–22. It was forecast to remain above the amount of depreciation in 2022–23 and 2023–24.

Services

Which service areas did council spend its money on?

Expenditure by function (2021–22 \$m and % of total services expenditure)


	2018–19		2019-	2019–20		-21	2021-	-22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Aged and disabled services	7.2	(4%)	6.9	(4%)	6.4	(3%)	6.7	(3%)
Business and economic services	10.3	(5%)	10.8	(6%)	11.6	(6%)	13.8	(7%)
Environment	21.0	(11%)	22.4	(12%)	21.1	(11%)	22.6	(11%)
Family and community services	11.6	(6%)	11.5	(6%)	11.2	(6%)	11.1	(5%)
Governance	42.6	(23%)	44.2	(23%)	43.6	(23%)	60.0	(29%)
Local roads and bridges	22.2	(12%)	25.4	(13%)	20.9	(11%)	21.0	(10%)
Recreation and culture	37.8	(20%)	38.0	(20%)	35.0	(19%)	35.6	(17%)
Traffic and street management	14.0	(7%)	14.3	(7%)	13.3	(7%)	13.4	(6%)
Waste management	20.7	(11%)	20.2	(10%)	24.1	(13%)	24.3	(12%)
Other	0.2	(0%)	0.2	(0%)	0.2	(0%)	0.2	(0%)
Total	187.5		193.9		187.1		208.6	

Which areas experienced the biggest changes from 2018–19 to 2021–22?



Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited). Council Annual Reports may provide further explanation of these expenditure changes.

Did service quality or community satisfaction change?



Kerbside collection bins missed (per 10,000 bins) 5.61 5.74


2018–19 2019–20 2020–21 2021–22

1.33


Financial position

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

Did council operate sustainably?




Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, Yarra Ranges Shire Council reported an average adjusted underlying result of 4.4 per cent. An ongoing positive result suggests ongoing revenue can fund the current level of service provision.



Council could meet its current financial obligations with a reported average working capital ratio of 169 per cent between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, council reported an average indebtedness ratio of 9.1 per cent. The lower the percentage, the greater a council's ability is to repay debt from revenue it controls.



Note: Some of the year-on-year changes in these financial indicators may be due to changes to accounting standards or the impact of coronavirus. See the reader's guide for more information.