

# Wangaratta Rural City Council

# Group: regional city

This fact sheet uses graphs and data to examine this council's revenue, expenditure and financial sustainability over recent years. The information aims to help readers understand the impacts of rate capping. This was introduced in 2016–17 to restrict the amount councils can increase their general rates and municipal charges each financial year.

You can compare this council's data against its 'group' by looking at the fact sheet for regional city councils. Further information is available at <a href="http://www.esc.vic.gov.au/outcomes-reports">http://www.esc.vic.gov.au/outcomes-reports</a>. You'll find an interactive version of this fact sheet, a reader's guide to help you understand key terms and information about the sector as a whole.



© OpenStreetMap contributors

### Key facts

Population (June 2021):	29,740
Size (km²):	3,645
Length of local roads (km):	1,892
Population per km of roads:	16
Council employees (FTE, 2021–22):	318
Higher cap approved for any year between 2016–17 and 2021–22	No

# Rates

# What happened to average rates and charges (2021–22 dollars)?



Year	Average rates and charges	Applicable rate cap
2016–17	\$2,139	2.50%
2017–18	\$2,152	2.00%
2018–19	\$2,190	2.25%
2019–20	\$2,229	2.50%
2020–21	\$2,277	2.00%
2021–22	\$2,279	1.50%



See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

Did council's average rates comply with the applicable rate caps?							
2020–21 (2.00%)	2021–22 (1.50%)	2022–23 (1.75%)					
Yes	Yes	Yes					

### Did have rates change for different ratepayers?

#### Council's rating strategy 2022-23

Council applies 7 differential rates for different types of property and recovers the cost of waste services using service charges. The adopted budget contains more information about rate categories and charges.

#### Distribution of rates increases and decreases

The applicable rate cap is applied to council's average rate. So, some individual rates increased by more and some increased by less than the applicable cap (or even decreased).

2020–21	39% 26		26%	35%
2021–22	42%	1	9%	39%
2022–23	38%	12%		50%

% of rates notices decreasing

% of rates notices increasing by less than the applicable cap

□ % of rates notices increasing by more than the applicable cap

#### Ratepayers by property class (2021–22 dollars)



**Source:** Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited data). Includes both capped and uncapped rates and charges. 'Other' category of property class has been omitted.

# Revenue

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

### Where did council's money come from?

<b>Revenue</b> (2021–22 \$m and % of total revenue)	
---	--

	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		2021	1–22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Rates and charges	34.5	(50%)	35.7	(44%)	36.8	(42%)	36.8	(43%)
User fees and statutory fees and fines	9.9	(14%)	12.5	(15%)	12.0	(14%)	11.8	(14%)
Grants	21.1	(30%)	26.3	(32%)	30.4	(35%)	29.8	(35%)
Contributions	1.9	(3%)	3.0	(4%)	3.0	(3%)	3.7	(4%)
Other	2.3	(3%)	4.6	(6%)	5.3	(6%)	2.6	(3%)
Total	69.7		82.0		87.5		84.7	

Wangaratta Rural City Council's total revenue increased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22, reflecting increases in revenue from grants, rates and charges, and user fees and statutory fees and fines.

Rates and charges, and grants were the largest sources of revenue, accounting for 78 per cent of total revenue between 2018–19 and 2021–22.



In terms of revenue per person (which adjusts for changes in population), revenue from all categories increased between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

# Expenditure

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

### How much money did council spend?

Expenditure (2021–22 \$m and % of total expenditure)

	2018–19		201	2019–20		2020–21		1–22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Operating expenditure	47.7	(58%)	59.4	(61%)	64.0	(69%)	63.0	(75%)
Employee costs	24.9	(30%)	26.2	(27%)	28.3	(31%)	27.6	(33%)
Materials and services	20.7	(25%)	24.6	(25%)	25.4	(27%)	27.2	(32%)
Other operating expenditure	2.1	(3%)	8.7	(9%)	10.3	(11%)	8.2	(10%)
Capital expenditure	35.2	(42%)	38.3	(39%)	28.5	(31%)	20.8	(25%)
Total	83.0		97.8		92.5		83.8	

Wangaratta Rural City Council's total expenditure fluctuated in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This reflected changes in capital expenditure and 'other operating expenditure'.

Capital expenditure was the largest area of expenditure, accounting for 34 per cent of total expenditure between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

#### Expenditure per person (2021–22 dollars)



In terms of expenditure per person (which adjusts for changes in population), council's capital expenditure decreased between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This compares with an upward trend in employee costs, materials and services and 'other operating expenditure' per person.

# Infrastructure

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021-22 dollars.

# Did council's capital expenditure pattern change?

Capital expenditure (2021–22 \$m and % of total capital expenditure)

	20	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		-22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Renewal	11.5	(33%)	9.9	(26%)	7.4	(26%)	9.5	(46%)
Upgrade	3.2	(9%)	6.0	(16%)	4.3	(15%)	3.7	(18%)
Expansion	0.1	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)
New	20.5	(58%)	22.5	(59%)	16.8	(59%)	7.6	(36%)
Total	35.2		38.3		28.5		20.8	

Wangaratta Rural City Council's spending on new assets trended downwards in real terms, despite fluctuating between 2018–19 and 2021–22. New assets accounted for the highest share of capital expenditure over this period (55 per cent).

Council's spending on asset renewal decreased and asset upgrades increased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22.



### Council's spending on renewing and upgrading assets trended downwards in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22. Renewal and upgrade expenditure increased above the amount of depreciation (the decline in value of council's assets caused by age and use) in 2019–20, before decreasing below 100 per cent of depreciation in 2020-21. It was forecast to increase above the amount of depreciation in 2022–23, before decreasing in 2023–24.

Did council renew assets (such as roads, parks and buildings)?

# **Services**

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

# Which service areas did council spend its money on?

Expenditure by function (2021-22 \$m and % of total services expenditure)

	2018–19		2019-	2019–20		2020–21		-22
	\$m		\$m	\$m			\$m	
Aged and disabled services	6.3	(10%)	7.4	(11%)	8.3	(10%)	8.7	(10%)
Business and economic services	4.2	(6%)	3.2	(5%)	4.3	(5%)	3.3	(4%)
Environment	2.5	(4%)	3.7	(5%)	1.6	(2%)	1.5	(2%)
Family and community services	4.1	(6%)	3.9	(6%)	4.9	(6%)	4.6	(5%)
Governance	15.8	(24%)	18.6	(27%)	16.5	(20%)	14.5	(17%)
Local roads and bridges	15.7	(24%)	16.0	(23%)	31.3	(38%)	34.8	(42%)
Recreation and culture	8.6	(13%)	9.4	(14%)	9.5	(12%)	11.3	(14%)
Traffic and street management	2.2	(3%)	2.0	(3%)	0.4	(1%)	0.6	(1%)
Waste management	5.3	(8%)	5.4	(8%)	5.4	(7%)	4.4	(5%)
Other	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)
Total	64.8		69.7		82.3		83.8	

# Which areas experienced the biggest changes from 2018–19 to 2021–22?



**Source:** Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited). Council Annual Reports may provide further explanation of these expenditure changes.

# Did service quality or community satisfaction change?





2018–19 2019–20 2020–21 2021–22



2018–19 2019–20 2020–21 2021–22

# **Financial position**

# Did council operate sustainably?



Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, Wangaratta Rural City Council reported an average adjusted underlying result of -6.5 per cent. An ongoing negative result suggests that, without an increase in ongoing revenue or receipt of one-off grants, ongoing revenue may not fund the range and level of services being provided.



Council could meet its current financial obligations with a reported average working capital ratio of 172 per cent between 2018–19 and 2021–22.



Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, council reported an average indebtedness ratio of 81.6 per cent. A council with a relatively high or rising indebtedness ratio may find increasing difficulty in repaying debt levels from revenue it controls.

Note: Some of the year-on-year changes in these financial indicators may be due to changes to accounting standards or the impact of coronavirus. See the reader's guide for more information.

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information. Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.