# Maribyrnong City Council 

## Group: metropolitan

This fact sheet uses graphs and data to examine this council's revenue, expenditure and financial sustainability over recent years. The information aims to help readers understand the impacts of rate capping. This was introduced in 2016-17 to restrict the amount councils can increase their general rates and municipal charges each financial year.

You can compare this council's data against its 'group' by looking at the fact sheet for metropolitan councils. Further information is available at http://www.esc.vic.gov.au/outcomes-reports. You'll find an interactive version of this fact sheet, a reader's guide to help you understand key terms and information about the sector as a whole.
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| Key facts |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Population (June 2021): | 86,398 |
| Size $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ : | 31 |
| Length of local roads (km): | 308 |
| Population per km of roads: | 280 |
| Council employees (FTE, 2021-22): | 478 |
| Higher cap approved for any year <br> between 2016-17 and 2021-22 | No |

## Rates

What happened to average rates and charges (2021-22 dollars)?

| \$ increase in average rates and charges | Year | Average rates and charges | Applicable rate cap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$200 |  |  |  |
| \$100 | 2016-17 | \$2,560 | 2.50\% |
| \$0 | 2017-18 | \$2,573 | 2.00\% |
| -\$100 | 2018-19 | \$2,576 | 2.25\% |
| -\$200 | 2019-20 | \$2,561 | 2.50\% |
| 2017-18 2018-19 2019-20 2020-21 2021-22 |  |  |  |
| $\square$ General rates and municipal charge (capped from 2016-17) | 2020-21 | \$2,500 | 2.00\% |
| $\square$ Service charges (uncapped) | 2021-22 | \$2,401 | 1.50\% |

## Rates (continued)

## Did council's average rates comply with the applicable rate caps?

| 2020-21 (2.00\%) | 2021-22 (1.50\%) | 2022-23 (1.75\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | Yes |  |
| How did rates change for different ratepayers? |  |  |

## Council's rating strategy 2022-23

Council applies 6 differential rates for different types of property, a municipal charge and recovers the cost of waste services using service charges. The adopted budget contains more information about rate categories.

## Distribution of rates increases and decreases

The applicable rate cap is applied to council's average rate. So, some individual rates increased by more and some increased by less than the applicable cap (or even decreased).

| 2020-21 | 55\% | 7\% | 37\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021-22 | 49\% | 9\% | 42\% |
| 2022-23 | 54\% | 10\% | 36\% |
| ■ \% of rates notices decreasing |  |  |  |
| $\square \%$ of rates notices increasing by less than the applicable cap |  |  |  |
| $\square \%$ of rates notices increasing by more than the applicable cap |  |  |  |

Ratepayers by property class (2021-22 dollars)

Residential ratepayers

$\quad \mathbf{9 1 \%}$
of ratepayers
in $2021-22$
\$85.2m

(78\%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021-22
-1.3\%
average annual change between 2018-19 and 2021-22

Commercial ratepayers

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{6 \%} \\
\text { of ratepayers } \\
\text { in } 2021-22
\end{gathered}
$$

\$15.7m
(14\%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021-22
-1.5\%
average annual change between 2018-19 and 2021-22

Industrial ratepayers

3\%
of ratepayers
in 2021-22

## \$8.8m

(8\%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021-22
-1.4\%
average annual change between 2018-19 and 2021-22

Rural ratepayers $0 \%$ of ratepayers in 2021-22 \$0m
(0\%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021-22 0.0\% average annual change between 2018-19 and 2021-22

[^0]
## Where did council's money come from?

| Revenue (2021-22 \$m and \% of total revenue) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  |
| Rates and charges | 107.3 | (65\%) | 109.0 | (67\%) | 108.8 | (64\%) | 109.7 | (68\%) |
| User fees and statutory fees and fines | 27.4 | (17\%) | 24.3 | (15\%) | 17.6 | (10\%) | 18.5 | (12\%) |
| Grants | 13.5 | (8\%) | 19.1 | (12\%) | 13.4 | (8\%) | 15.1 | (9\%) |
| Contributions | 11.5 | (7\%) | 6.3 | (4\%) | 27.3 | (16\%) | 14.7 | (9\%) |
| Other | 4.5 | (3\%) | 3.4 | (2\%) | 2.4 | (1\%) | 2.3 | (1\%) |
| Total | 164.2 |  | 162.1 |  | 169.5 |  | 160.3 |  |

Maribyrnong City Council's total revenue decreased in real terms between 2018-19 and 2021-22, reflecting decreases in revenue from user fees and statutory fees and fines and 'other' revenue.

Rates and charges was the largest source of revenue, accounting for 66 per cent of total revenue between 2018-19 and 2021-22.

Revenue per person (2021-22 dollars)


In terms of revenue per person (which adjusts for changes in population), revenue from rates and charges increased between 2018-19 and 2021-22. This compares with a downward trend in revenue per person from user fees and statutory fees and fines and 'other' revenue.

## How much money did council spend?

| Expenditure (2021-22 \$m and \% of total expenditure) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  |
| Operating expenditure | 131.0 | (76\%) | 128.5 | (82\%) | 121.4 | (85\%) | 114.7 | (79\%) |
| Employee costs | 59.9 | (35\%) | 54.5 | (35\%) | 55.1 | (38\%) | 53.3 | (37\%) |
| Materials and services | 59.3 | (34\%) | 67.6 | (43\%) | 61.3 | (43\%) | 56.9 | (39\%) |
| Other operating expenditure | 11.8 | (7\%) | 6.5 | (4\%) | 5.1 | (4\%) | 4.5 | (3\%) |
| Capital expenditure | 41.1 | (24\%) | 28.2 | (18\%) | 22.0 | (15\%) | 31.1 | (21\%) |
| Total | 172.1 |  | 156.7 |  | 143.5 |  | 145.8 |  |

Maribyrnong City Council's total expenditure decreased in real terms between 2018-19 and 2021-22. This reflected a decrease in employee costs, 'other operating expenditure' and capital expenditure.

Materials and services was the largest area of expenditure, accounting for 40 per cent of total expenditure between 2018-19 and 2021-22.

## Expenditure per person (2021-22 dollars)



In terms of expenditure per person (which adjusts for changes in population), council's spending on all categories decreased between 2018-19 and 2021-22.

## Infrastructure

## Did council's capital expenditure pattern change?

| Capital expenditure (2021-22 \$m and \% of total capital expenditure) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  |
| Renewal | 9.8 | (37\%) | 10.7 | (60\%) | 11.3 | (51\%) | 10.5 | (34\%) |
| Upgrade | 0.4 | (1\%) | 0.9 | (5\%) | 2.3 | (10\%) | 7.4 | (24\%) |
| Expansion | 0.0 | (0\%) | 0.0 | (0\%) | 0.0 | (0\%) | 0.5 | (2\%) |
| New | 16.4 | (62\%) | 6.2 | (35\%) | 8.4 | (38\%) | 12.8 | (41\%) |
| Total | 26.6 |  | 17.9 |  | 22.0 |  | 31.1 |  |

Maribyrnong City Council's spending on new assets trended downwards in real terms, despite fluctuating between 2018-19 and 2021-22. New assets accounted for the highest share of capital expenditure over this period (45 per cent).

Council's spending on all other categories increased in real terms, between 2018-19 and 2021-22.

## Did council renew assets (such as roads, parks and buildings)?

Council assets: comparing renewal and upgrade expenditure with depreciation (2021-22 dollars)


-     - Asset renewal and upgrade expenditure
--Depreciation

Renewal \& upgrade expenditure as a percentage of depreciation

| $2018-19$ | $52 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2019-20$ | $64 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | $73 \%$ |
| $2021-22$ | $96 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $2022-23$ | $270 \%$ | (forecast data)

Council's spending on renewing and upgrading assets trended upwards in real terms between 2018-19 and 2021-22. Renewal and upgrade expenditure remained below the amount of depreciation (the decline in value of council's assets caused by age and use) between 2018-19 and 2021-22. It was forecast to increase above the amount of depreciation in 2022-23 and 2023-24.

## Which service areas did council spend its money on?

| Expenditure by function (2021-22 \$m and \% of total services expenditure) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  | \$m |  |
| Aged and disabled services | 9.3 | (6\%) | 5.5 | (4\%) | 3.9 | (3\%) | 2.7 | (2\%) |
| Business and economic services | 8.2 | (5\%) | 8.2 | (6\%) | 8.7 | (6\%) | 8.2 | (6\%) |
| Environment | 10.2 | (7\%) | 7.3 | (5\%) | 7.3 | (5\%) | 6.6 | (5\%) |
| Family and community services | 8.1 | (5\%) | 9.8 | (7\%) | 9.1 | (6\%) | 9.4 | (7\%) |
| Governance | 35.5 | (24\%) | 36.2 | (25\%) | 35.9 | (26\%) | 32.7 | (24\%) |
| Local roads and bridges | 12.1 | (8\%) | 12.2 | (8\%) | 14.0 | (10\%) | 13.1 | (10\%) |
| Recreation and culture | 33.0 | (22\%) | 36.3 | (25\%) | 32.5 | (23\%) | 31.6 | (24\%) |
| Traffic and street management | 26.7 | (18\%) | 23.9 | (16\%) | 21.7 | (15\%) | 20.4 | (15\%) |
| Waste management | 7.3 | (5\%) | 7.3 | (5\%) | 7.7 | (5\%) | 9.6 | (7\%) |
| Other | 0.0 | (0\%) | 0.8 | (1\%) | 0.0 | (0\%) | 0.0 | (0\%) |
| Total | 150.5 |  | 147.5 |  | 140.8 |  | 134.2 |  |

Which areas experienced the biggest changes from 2018-19 to 2021-22?


Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited). Council Annual Reports may provide further explanation of these expenditure changes.

## Did service quality or community satisfaction change?



2018-19 2019-20 2020-21 2021-22

Sealed local roads maintained to condition standards (\%)


2018-19 2019-20 2020-21 2021-22

Kerbside collection bins
missed (per 10,000
bins)


## Did council operate sustainably?

Adjusted underlying result (adjusted underlying surplus or deficit as a percentage of adjusted underlying revenue)

——Actuals - - - Forecasts

Working capital (current assets as a percentage of current liabilities)


Indebtedness (non-current liabilities as a percentage of own-source revenue)


Between 2018-19 and 2021-22, Maribyrnong City Council reported an average adjusted underlying result of 0.4 per cent. An ongoing positive result suggests ongoing revenue can fund the current level of service provision.

Council could meet its current financial obligations with a reported average working capital ratio of 366 per cent between 2018-19 and 2021-22.

Between 2018-19 and 2021-22, council reported an average indebtedness ratio of 1.7 per cent. The lower the percentage, the greater a council's ability is to repay debt from revenue it controls.

[^1]
[^0]:    Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited data). Includes both capped and uncapped rates and charges. 'Other' category of property class has been omitted.

[^1]:    Note: Some of the year-on-year changes in these financial indicators may be due to changes to accounting standards or the impact of coronavirus. See the reader's guide for more information.

