

Colac Otway Shire Council

Group: large shire

This fact sheet uses graphs and data to examine this council's revenue, expenditure and financial sustainability over recent years. The information aims to help readers understand the impacts of rate capping. This was introduced in 2016–17 to restrict the amount councils can increase their general rates and municipal charges each financial year.


You can compare this council's data against its 'group' by looking at the fact sheet for large shires. Further information is available at http://www.esc.vic.gov.au/outcomes-reports. You'll find an interactive version of this fact sheet, a reader's guide to help you understand key terms and information about the sector as a whole.



Key facts	
Population (June 2021):	22,304
Size (km²):	3,438
Length of local roads (km):	1,623
Population per km of roads:	14
Council employees (FTE, 2021–22):	238
Higher cap approved for any year between 2016–17 and 2021–22	No

Rates

What happened to average rates and charges (2021–22 dollars)?



Year	Average rates and charges	Applicable rate cap
2016–17	\$2,142	2.50%
2017–18	\$2,105	2.00%
2018–19	\$2,127	2.25%
2019–20	\$2,112	2.50%
2020–21	\$2,106	2.00%
2021–22	\$2,064	1.50%

Rates (continued)



See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information.

Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.

Did council's average rates comply with the applicable rate caps?

2020–21 (2.00%) 2021–22 (1.50%) 2022–23 (1.75%)

Yes Yes Yes

How did rates change for different ratepayers?

Council's rating strategy 2022-23

Council applies 5 differential rates for different types of property, a municipal charge and recovers the cost of waste services using service charges. The adopted budget contains more information about rate categories and charges.

Distribution of rates increases and decreases

The applicable rate cap is applied to council's average rate. So, some individual rates increased by more and some increased by less than the applicable cap (or even decreased).

2020–21	45%	12%	43%
2021–22	Dete not marrido	for 2004	22 4 2022 22
2022–23	Data not provided	101 202 I –	22 and 2022–23

- % of rates notices decreasing
- % of rates notices increasing by less than the applicable cap
- $\ \square$ % of rates notices increasing by more than the applicable cap

Patenavers by property class (2021-22 dollars)

2021-22

Ratepaye	ers by property class (2021–	22 dollars)	
	Residential ratepayers	Commercial & industrial ratepayers	Rural ratepayers
	76%	6%	18%
	of ratepayers in 2021–22	of ratepayers in 2021–22	of ratepayers in 2021–22
.	\$22.8m	\$3.3m	\$6.4m
\$	(70%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021–22	(10%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021–22	(20%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021–22
_	-0.1%	-1.6%	0.2%
	average annual change between 2017–18 and	average annual change between 2017–18 and	average annual change between 2017–18 and

Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited data). Includes both capped and uncapped rates and charges. 'Other' category of property class has been omitted.

2021-22

2021-22



Where did council's money come from?


Revenue (2021–22 \$m and % of total revenue)

	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		202	1–22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Rates and charges	33.2	(50%)	33.1	(55%)	33.2	(52%)	33.0	(52%)
User fees and statutory fees and fines	6.5	(10%)	6.4	(11%)	7.1	(11%)	7.2	(11%)
Grants	24.4	(37%)	18.0	(30%)	17.2	(27%)	20.9	(33%)
Contributions	0.7	(1%)	1.0	(2%)	0.9	(1%)	2.2	(3%)
Other	1.4	(2%)	1.4	(2%)	5.2	(8%)	0.5	(1%)
Total	66.1		59.9		63.6		63.8	

Colac Otway Shire Council's total revenue decreased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22, reflecting decreases in revenue from grants and 'other' revenue.

Rates and charges, and grants were the largest sources of revenue, accounting for 84 per cent of total revenue between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Revenue per person (2021–22 dollars)



In terms of revenue per person (which adjusts for changes in population), revenue from rates and charges, and grants decreased between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This compares with an upward trend in revenue per person from user fees and statutory fees and fines, contributions and 'other' revenue.



Expenditure

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information.

Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.


How much money did council spend?

•	,							
	2018–19		201	2019–20		0–21	202	1–22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Operating expenditure	47.6	(70%)	47.0	(75%)	46.6	(82%)	47.2	(79%)
Employee costs	21.8	(32%)	21.9	(35%)	23.3	(41%)	22.0	(37%)
Materials and services	23.7	(35%)	23.7	(38%)	20.7	(36%)	23.2	(39%)
Other operating expenditure	2.1	(3%)	1.4	(2%)	2.5	(4%)	2.0	(3%)
Capital expenditure	20.4	(30%)	15.7	(25%)	10.3	(18%)	12.9	(21%)
Total	67.9		62.7		56.9		60.1	

Colac Otway Shire Council's total expenditure decreased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This reflected a decrease in capital expenditure.

Materials and services was the largest area of expenditure, accounting for 37 per cent of total expenditure between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Expenditure per person (2021–22 dollars)



In terms of expenditure per person (which adjusts for changes in population), materials and services, and capital expenditure decreased between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This compares with fluctuations in expenditure on employee costs and 'other operating expenditure' per person.



Did council's capital expenditure pattern change?


Capital expenditure (2021–22 \$m and % of total capital expenditure)


	2018–19		201	2019–20		020–21	2021	-22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Renewal	13.8	(68%)	11.8	(75%)	9.7	(94%)	10.3	(80%)
Upgrade	3.3	(16%)	0.8	(5%)	0.3	(3%)	1.1	(8%)
Expansion	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)
New	3.2	(16%)	3.1	(20%)	0.3	(3%)	1.6	(12%)
Total	20.4		15.7		10.3		12.9	

Colac Otway Shire Council's spending on asset renewal trended downwards in real terms, despite fluctuating between 2018–19 and 2021–22. Asset renewal accounted for the highest share of capital expenditure over this period (77 per cent).

Council's spending on new assets and asset upgrades decreased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Did council renew assets (such as roads, parks and buildings)?





Renewal & upgrade expenditure as a percentage of depreciation

-		-
	156%	2018–19
	109%	2019–20
	98%	2020–21
	105%	2021–22
(forecast data)	110%	2022–23
(forecast data)	81%	2023–24

Council's spending on renewing and upgrading assets trended downwards in real terms between 2018–19 and 2020–21, before increasing in 2021–22. Renewal and upgrade expenditure decreased below the amount of depreciation (the decline in value of council's assets caused by age and use) in 2020–21, before increasing above 100 per cent of depreciation in 2021–22. It was forecast to continue to be above the amount of depreciation in 2022–23, before decreasing in 2023–24.



Which service areas did council spend its money?

Expenditure by function (2021–22 \$m and % of total services expenditure)

	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		2021-	-22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Aged and disabled services	3.3	(6%)	3.6	(6%)	4.6	(8%)	4.9	(8%)
Business and economic services	6.4	(11%)	6.3	(11%)	5.6	(10%)	5.6	(10%)
Environment	2.9	(5%)	3.8	(6%)	3.7	(7%)	3.0	(5%)
Family and community services	1.4	(2%)	1.4	(2%)	1.2	(2%)	1.5	(3%)
Governance	15.3	(26%)	13.4	(23%)	16.0	(28%)	14.1	(24%)
Local roads and bridges	13.7	(23%)	13.8	(24%)	10.7	(19%)	11.3	(19%)
Recreation and culture	8.6	(15%)	9.6	(16%)	8.5	(15%)	10.4	(18%)
Traffic and street management	2.6	(4%)	2.7	(5%)	2.5	(4%)	2.3	(4%)
Waste management	4.4	(7%)	4.0	(7%)	4.1	(7%)	4.9	(8%)
Other	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)
Total	58.6		58.7		57.0		58.1	

Which areas experienced the biggest changes from 2018–19 to 2021–22?



Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited). Council Annual Reports may provide further explanation of these expenditure changes.

Did service quality or community satisfaction change?

54 55 53 2018–19 2019–20 2020–21 2021–22

Satisfaction with community consultation

and engagement (%)

standards (%)

93.7

93.1

96.0


2018–19 2019–20 2020–21 2021–22

Sealed local roads

maintained to condition

Kerbside collection bins missed (per 10,000 bins)


5.75








Did council operate sustainably?




Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, Colac Otway Shire Council reported an average adjusted underlying result of 2.3 per cent. An ongoing positive result suggests ongoing revenue can fund the current level of service provision.

Working capital (current assets as a percentage of current liabilities)



Council could meet its current financial obligations with a reported average working capital ratio of 190 per cent between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Indebtedness (non-current liabilities as a percentage of own-source revenue)



Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, council reported an average indebtedness ratio of 19.7 per cent. The lower the percentage, the greater a council's ability is to repay debt from revenue it controls.

Note: Some of the year-on-year changes in these financial indicators may be due to changes to accounting standards or the impact of coronavirus. See the reader's guide for more information.