

Alpine Shire Council

Group: small shire

This fact sheet uses graphs and data to examine this council's revenue, expenditure and financial sustainability over recent years. The information aims to help readers understand the impacts of rate capping. This was introduced in 2016–17 to restrict the amount councils can increase their general rates and municipal charges each financial year.


You can compare this council's data against its 'group' by looking at the fact sheet for small shires. Further information is available at http://www.esc.vic.gov.au/outcomes-reports. You'll find an interactive version of this fact sheet, a reader's guide to help you understand key terms and information about the sector as a whole.



Key facts	
Population (June 2021):	13,156
Size (km²):	4,788
Length of local roads (km):	685
Population per km of roads:	19
Council employees (FTE, 2021–22):	124
Higher cap approved for any year between 2016–17 and 2021–22	No

Rates

What happened to average rates and charges (2021-22 dollars)?



Year	Average rates and charges	Applicable rate cap
2016–17	\$2,137	2.50%
2017–18	\$2,098	2.00%
2018–19	\$2,098	2.25%
2019–20	\$2,119	2.50%
2020–21	\$2,149	2.00%
2021–22	\$2,123	1.50%





Did council's average rates comply with the applicable rate caps?

2020-21 (2.00%)

2021-22 (1.50%)

2022-23 (1.75%)

Yes

Yes

Yes

How did rates change for different ratepayers?

Council's rating strategy 2022-23

Council applies 3 differential rates for different types of property and recovers the cost of waste services using service charges. The adopted budget contains more information about rate categories and charges.

Distribution of rates increases and decreases

The applicable rate cap is applied to council's average rate. So, some individual rates increased by more and some increased by less than the applicable cap (or even decreased).

2020–21	35%	27%	38%
2021–22	45%	7%	48%
2022–23	40%	6%	54%

- % of rates notices decreasing
- % of rates notices increasing by less than the applicable cap
- □ % of rates notices increasing by more than the applicable cap

Ratepayers by property class (2021–22 dollars)

Residential ratepayers

Commercial and Industrial ratepayers Rural ratepayers

77%

of ratepayers in 2021–22

11%

of ratepayers in 2021–22

12%

of ratepayers in 2021–22

\$

\$13.5m

(69%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021–22

\$3.4m

(17%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021–22

\$2.8m

(14%) of rates and charges revenue in 2021–22



0.9%

average annual change between 2017–18 and 2021–22 -0.1%

average annual change between 2017–18 and 2021–22 0.9%

average annual change between 2017–18 and 2021–22

Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited data). Includes both capped and uncapped rates and charges. 'Other' category of property class has been omitted.



Where did council's money come from?


Revenue (2021–22 \$m and % of total revenue)

	2018–19		201	019–20		2020–21		I – 22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Rates and charges	19.3	(57%)	19.6	(55%)	19.9	(54%)	19.8	(48%)
User fees and statutory fees and fines	1.9	(6%)	1.6	(5%)	1.8	(5%)	1.8	(4%)
Grants	10.0	(29%)	12.4	(35%)	11.5	(31%)	17.3	(42%)
Contributions	1.7	(5%)	1.0	(3%)	2.1	(6%)	1.4	(3%)
Other	1.1	(3%)	1.1	(3%)	1.4	(4%)	8.0	(2%)
Total	34.0		35.8		36.7		41.1	

Alpine Shire Council's total revenue increased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22, reflecting an increase in revenue from grants.

Rates and charges, and grants were the largest sources of revenue, accounting for 88 per cent of total revenue between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Revenue per person (2021–22 dollars)



In terms of revenue per person (which adjusts for changes in population), revenue from grants increased between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This compares with a downward trend in revenue per person from user fees and statutory fees and fines and 'other' revenue.



Expenditure

See the reader's guide for data sources and useful information.

Note: The numbers in this fact sheet have been adjusted for inflation and are expressed in 2021–22 dollars.


How much money did council spend?

•								
	2018–19		201	2019–20		2020–21		1–22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Operating expenditure	26.7	(69%)	22.2	(78%)	26.3	(82%)	25.6	(62%)
Employee costs	8.0	(21%)	8.6	(30%)	10.5	(33%)	10.0	(24%)
Materials and services	13.1	(34%)	11.5	(40%)	11.9	(37%)	14.2	(35%)
Other operating expenditure	5.6	(15%)	2.1	(7%)	3.9	(12%)	1.4	(3%)
Capital expenditure	11.9	(31%)	6.3	(22%)	5.7	(18%)	15.6	(38%)
Total	38.6		28.5		32.0		41.2	

Alpine Shire Council's total expenditure fluctuated in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22. The largest changes occurred in 2021–22, as capital expenditure increased, and in 2019–20, as capital expenditure decreased.

Materials and services was the largest area of expenditure, accounting for 36 per cent of total expenditure between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Expenditure per person (2021–22 dollars)



In terms of expenditure per person (which adjusts for changes in population), council's expenditure on employee costs, materials and services, and capital expenditure increased between 2018–19 and 2021–22. This compares with a downward trend in 'other operating expenditure' per person.



Did council's capital expenditure pattern change?


Capital expenditure (2021–22 \$m and % of total capital expenditure)


	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		2021–22	
	\$m	;	\$m		\$m		\$m	
Renewal	4.4 ((37%)	3.1	(49%)	3.1	(55%)	2.7	(17%)
Upgrade	7.2 ((60%)	2.9	(45%)	1.7	(30%)	9.0	(58%)
Expansion	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)
New	0.4 ((3%)	0.4	(6%)	0.9	(15%)	3.8	(25%)
Total	11.9	(6.3		5.7		15.6	

Alpine Shire Council's spending on asset upgrades trended upwards in real terms, despite fluctuating between 2018–19 and 2021–22. Asset upgrades accounted for the highest share of capital expenditure over this period (53 per cent).

Council's spending on new assets increased in real terms between 2018–19 and 2021–22, while spending on asset renewal declined.

Did council renew assets (such as roads, parks and buildings)?





Renewal & upgrade expenditure as a percentage of depreciation

	244%	2018–19
	112%	2019–20
	89%	2020–21
	217%	2021–22
(forecast data)	103%	2022–23
(forecast data)	166%	2023–24

Council's spending on renewing and upgrading assets trended downwards in real terms between 2018–19 and 2020–21, before increasing in 2021–22. Renewal and upgrade expenditure decreased below the amount of depreciation (the decline in value of council's assets caused by age and use) in 2020–21, before increasing above 100 per cent of depreciation in 2021–22. It was forecast to remain above the amount of depreciation in 2022–23 and 2023–24.




Which service areas did council spend its money on?

Expenditure by function (2021–22 \$m and % of total services expenditure)

	2018–19		2019–20		2020–21		2021-	-22
	\$m		\$m		\$m		\$m	
Aged and disabled services	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)
Business and economic services	3.5	(13%)	3.8	(14%)	4.3	(15%)	4.5	(14%)
Environment	0.5	(2%)	0.5	(2%)	0.7	(2%)	0.7	(2%)
Family and community services	8.0	(3%)	0.7	(3%)	1.4	(5%)	1.2	(4%)
Governance	5.3	(20%)	6.8	(25%)	6.5	(23%)	7.2	(23%)
Local roads and bridges	5.6	(21%)	4.7	(17%)	5.8	(20%)	6.1	(20%)
Recreation and culture	6.0	(23%)	7.0	(26%)	6.2	(21%)	5.8	(19%)
Traffic and street management	1.9	(7%)	0.9	(3%)	0.9	(3%)	1.6	(5%)
Waste management	3.0	(11%)	3.0	(11%)	2.9	(10%)	3.9	(13%)
Other	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)	0.0	(0%)
Total	26.6		27.4		28.8		31.0	

Which areas experienced the biggest changes from 2018–19 to 2021–22?



Source: Victorian Local Government Grants Commission (unaudited). Council Annual Reports may provide further explanation of these expenditure changes.

Did service quality or community satisfaction change?

57 57 55 55 2018–19 2019–20 2020–21 2021–22

Satisfaction with

community consultation

and engagement (%)

standards (%)

99.1

98.8 98.8 98.8

2018–19 2019–20 2020–21 2021–22

Sealed local roads

maintained to condition

missed (per 10,000 bins)

2.12

1.47

1.47

2.18

2.19

2.19

2.19

2.10

2.10

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11

2.11


2.11

Kerbside collection bins






Did council operate sustainably?



Adjusted underlying result


Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, Alpine Shire Council reported an average adjusted underlying result of 4.7 per cent. An ongoing positive result suggests ongoing revenue can fund the current level of service provision.

Working capital (current assets as a percentage of current liabilities)



Council could meet its current financial obligations with a reported average working capital ratio of 313 per cent between 2018–19 and 2021–22.

Indebtedness (non-current liabilities as a percentage of own-source revenue)



Between 2018–19 and 2021–22, council reported an average indebtedness ratio of 17.5 per cent. The lower the percentage, the greater a council's ability is to repay debt from revenue it controls.

Note: Some of the year-on-year changes in these financial indicators may be due to changes to accounting standards or the impact of coronavirus. See the reader's guide for more information.