

Level 2, 35 Spring St Melbourne 3000, Australia Telephone +61 3 9651 0222 +61 1300 664 969 Facsimile +61 3 9651 3688

### 2008 WATER PRICE REVIEW

DRAFT DECISION VOLUME II: NORTH EAST WATER

**MARCH 2008** 

#### An appropriate citation for this paper is:

Essential Services Commission 2008, 2008 Water Price Review Draft Decision Volume II: North East Water, March.

© Essential Services Commission. This publication is copyright. No part may be reproduced by any process except in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968 and the permission of the Essential Services Commission.

### NORTH EAST WATER

#### 1. Purpose of volume II of the draft decision

The Commission is required to issue a Draft Decision that proposes either to:

- (a) approve all of the prices which a regulated entity may charge for prescribed services, or the manner in which such prices are to be calculated or otherwise determined, as set out in the regulated entity's water plan, until the commencement of the next regulatory period <u>or</u>
- (b) refuse to give the approval referred to above and specifies the reasons for the Commission's proposed refusal (which may include suggested amendments to, or action to be taken in respect of, the Water Plan that, if adopted or taken, may result in the Commission giving that approval) and the date by which a regulated entity must resubmit a revised Water Plan or undertake such action as to ensure compliance.

This Volume of the Draft Decision summarises for each business the suggested amendments or actions that if adopted or taken may result in the Commission giving its approval to the relevant business's proposed prices or the manner in which such prices are to be calculated or otherwise determined. The main reasons for suggested amendments or actions are summarised. More detailed reasons for the Commission's suggested amendments are outlined in Volume I of the Draft Decision.

#### 2. Actions to be taken in response to this draft decision

In response to this Draft Decision, North East Water should <u>by 9 May 2008</u> resubmit:

- (a) its proposed schedule of tariffs to apply for each year of the regulatory period commencing 1 July 2008 that reflects:
  - (i) the revised revenue requirement set out in Table 3
  - (ii) the revised demand forecasts set out in Tables 12-16 and
  - (iii) any changes to tariff structure suggested by the Commission.
  - (iv) the service standards to apply over the regulatory period consistent with any revisions suggested by the Commission set out in Tables 1–2.

If a business does not submit a revised schedule of tariffs and/or the service standards to apply, or otherwise make a submission as to why it has not adopted the Commission's suggested amendments by the due date, the Commission will specify the prices, or manner in which prices are to be calculated or otherwise determined and the service standards to apply for the regulatory period 2008-09 to 2012-13 as part of its Final Determination.

#### 3. Service standards

The Commission proposes to approve each of the service standards proposed in North East Water's Water Plan, except as indicated in table 1.

#### Table 1Approved service standards

I able 1     Approved service standards       Service standard     Draft decision – service standards							
Service standard		Draft	decision – .	service star	ndards		
	3yr Avg 2003-06	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	
Water							
Unplanned water supply interruptions (per 100km)	10.9	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	
Average time taken to attend bursts and leaks (priority 1) (minutes)	27.5	<sub>50.0</sub> a	<sub>50.0</sub> a	<sub>50.0</sub> a	<sub>50.0</sub> a	<sub>50.0</sub> a	
Average time taken to attend bursts and leaks (priority 2) (minutes)	48.2	<sub>120.0</sub> a	<sub>120.0</sub> a	<sub>120.0</sub> a	<sub>120.0</sub> a	<sub>120.0</sub> a	
Average time taken to attend bursts and leaks (priority 3) (minutes)	0.5	<sub>1440.0</sub> a	<sub>1440.0</sub> a	<sub>1440.0</sub> a	<sub>1440.0</sub> a	1440.0 <b>a</b>	
Unplanned water supply interruptions restored within 5 hours (per cent) - proposed	98.7	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	
Planned water supply interruptions restored within 5 hours (per cent)	98.8	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	<sub>98.0</sub> a	
Average unplanned customer minutes off water supply (minutes)	5.9	<sub>7.7</sub> a	<sub>7.7</sub> a	<sub>7.7</sub> a	<sub>7.7</sub> a	<sub>7.7</sub> a	
Average planned customer minutes off water supply (minutes)	8.7	10.0 <b>a</b>	10.0 <b>a</b>	10.0 <b>a</b>	10.0 <b>a</b>	10.0 <b>a</b>	
Average frequency of unplanned water supply interruptions (number)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Average frequency of planned water supply interruptions (number)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Average duration of unplanned water supply interruptions (minutes)	94.3	110.0 <b>a</b>	110.0 <b>a</b>	110.0 <b>a</b>	110.0 <b>a</b>	110.0 <b>a</b>	
Average duration of planned water supply interruptions (minutes)	120.7	125.0	125.0	125.0	125.0	125.0	
Number of customers experiencing 5 unplanned water supply interruptions in	296.0	300.0	300.0	300.0	300.0	300.0	

Service standard	Draft decision – service standards							
	3yr Avg 2003-06	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13		
the year (number)								
Unaccounted for water (per cent)	16.2	18.0	17.0	16.0	15.0	15.0		
Sewerage								
Sewerage blockages (per 100km)	7.9	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.0		
Average time to attend sewer spills and blockages (minutes)	30.4	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0		
Average time to rectify a sewer blockage (minutes)	158.4	160.0	160.0	160.0	160.0	160.0		
Spills contained within 5 hours (per cent)	98.9	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.0		
Customers receiving 3 sewer blockages in the year (number)	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0		
Customer Service								
Complaints to EWOV (per 1000 customers)	0.1	<sub>0.4</sub> a						
Telephone calls answered within 30 seconds (per cent)	93.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0		

#### **Minimum Flow Rates**

	20mm	25mm	32mm	40mm	50mm
Flow rate (litres per minute)	10	14	24	40	60

**Note** Data rounded to one decimal place. **n.p.** = Not provided. <sup>a</sup> amended by the business in the lead up to the Draft Decision

Where the proposed service standard target deviated from North East Water's actual three year average performance or did not appear to make sense, the Commission sought further information from the business. The table above indicates which of the standards were amended by the business in the lead up to the Draft Decision.

The Commission has proposed to approve a target that appears inconsistent with the three year average performance. This is discussed in detail below.

#### **Complaints to EWOV**

Following clarification with North East Water of what constitutes a complaint (as defined by EWOV) the business revised its target for this indicator from 0.1 to 0.4 complaints per 1000 customers.

North East Water points out that the majority of these complaints were low level complaints referred back to the business for follow-up action. These complaints were directed to EWOV without the customer contacting NEW in the first instance.

The Commission notes that the revised target better reflects actual performance over the past three years and remains lower than the industry average for this indicator.

The Commission also notes that North East Water has proposed the additional service standards outlined in table 2.

Table 2	able 2 Additional service standards									
Service standard	1 3yr Avg 2003-06	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
Recycled water target (per cent)		31	31	32	32	37				

Note Data rounded to one decimal place.

#### 4. Guaranteed service level scheme

The business has not proposed to introduce a GSL scheme in the forthcoming period.

#### 5. Revenue requirement

The Commission has adopted the following assumptions in relation to the revenue required over the regulatory period.

#### Table 3 Breakdown of revenue requirement implied by ESC draft decision \$ million in January 2007 prices

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-2012	2012-13			
Operating expenditure	27.00	26.82	27.12	27.75	28.24			
Return on existing assets	7.45	7.22	6.98	6.75	6.51			
Return on new investments	0.54	1.68	2.96	4.05	4.93			
Regulatory depreciation	4.20	4.90	5.61	6.16	6.61			
Tax liability	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total	39.18	40.62	42.67	44.70	46.29			

#### 6. Rolled forward regulatory asset base

The regulatory asset base as at 1 July 2005 has been rolled forward to reflect approved capital expenditure net of customer contributions (new customer and shareholder contributions) and disposals for the 2005-06 to 2007-08 period less any approved allowance for regulatory depreciation. The rolled forward values are shown in table 4.

#### Table 4Updated regulatory asset base

\$ million in January 2007 prices

	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Opening RAB	90.75	94.85	104.83
Plus Gross Capital expenditure	9.69	15.53	24.16
Less Government contributions	0.83	1.23	0.25
Less Customer contributions	1.38	0.60	0.60
Less Proceeds from disposals	0.00	0.00	0.00
Less Regulatory depreciation	3.38	3.71	4.06
Closing RAB	94.85	104.83	124.09

The regulatory asset base as at 1 July 2007 will be rolled forward to reflect approved estimates of capital expenditure net of customer contributions (new customer and shareholder contributions) and disposals for the 2007-08 to 2012-13 period less any approved allowance for regulatory depreciation.

The Commission has adopted the following assumptions in relation to regulatory asset base over the regulatory period:

\$ million in January 2007 prices									
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
Opening RAB	124.1	137.8	153.9	172.0	181.8				
<i>Plu</i> s Gross Capital expenditure	18.9	21.9	25.0	17.3	19.6				
Less Government contributions	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3				
Less Customer contributions	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2				
Less Proceeds from disposals	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
Less Regulatory depreciation	4.2	4.9	5.6	6.2	6.6				
Closing RAB	137.8	153.9	172.0	181.8	193.3				

### Table 5Rolled forward regulatory asset base

#### **Reasons for adjustments**

#### 7. Weighted average cost of capital

The Commission has adopted a weighted average cost of capital (WACC) of 6.1 per cent for all water businesses. The table below outlines the individual components for the WACC.

Table 6	Real post-tax WACC							
<i>Real risk free rate</i>	Equity beta	Market risk premium	Debt margin	Financing structure	Franking credit value	WACC		
(per cent)	(β)	(per cent)	(per cent)	(per cent)	(ÿ)	(per cent)		
3.41	0.65	6.00	1.95	60	0.5	6.1		

#### 8. Operating expenditure

The Commission has made the following assumptions about operating expenditure forecasts over the regulatory period:

# Table 7Proposed and approved operating expenditure<br/>assumptions<br/>\$ million in January 2007 prices

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Proposed operating expenditure	26.92	27.71	28.04	28.53	28.86
Revisions and adjustments	0.08	-0.90	-0.92	-0.79	-0.61
Draft decision – operating expenditure	27.00	26.82	27.12	27.75	28.24

The Commission's assumptions reflect the following adjustments to North East Water's proposed operating expenditure forecasts:

#### Table 8Adjustments to operating expenditure

\$ million in January 2007 prices

Expenditure item	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Electricity - price and demand	0.11	-0.50	-0.75	-0.94	-0.99
Labour - operations	-0.01	0.04	0.09	0.14	0.20
Labour - administration	-0.10	-0.04	0.03	0.09	0.16
Outsourced contracts	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06
Water purchases	-0.09	-0.16	-0.16	0.05	0.15
New obligations	0.00	-0.02	-0.03	-0.03	-0.10
Other adjustment	-0.29	-0.29	-0.16	-0.15	-0.06
DHS licence fee adjustment	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
Environmental contribution					
adjustment	0.52	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10
Total	0.08	-0.90	-0.92	-0.79	-0.61

(a) No business revisions were proposed after the submission of the Water Plan

- (b) Electricity expenditure adjustments reflect changes to consumption and electricity prices. SKM's forecasts of electricity pricing are discussed in section 3 of SKM's expenditure review
- (c) Labour for operations was adjusted to reflect a real 1.25 per cent annual growth in labour rates as discussed in section 3 of the SKM expenditure review. The operations labour expenditure does not reflect new positions (SKM section 6.2.3)
- (d) Labour for administration includes adjustments to reflect a real 1.25 per cent annual growth in labour rates and SKM's view of efficient expenditure for new positions (SKM section 6.2.4)
- (e) Outsourced contract adjustment reflect changes to Wodonga WWTP operating condition forecasts that SKM determined to be more reflective of operating conditions (SKM section 6.2.5)
- (f) Water purchases reflects expenditure for temporary water entitlements, with adjustments reflecting SKM's view that a lower price for temporary entitlement would be reasonable (SKM section 6.2.6)
- (g) SKM reviewed North East Water's proposed new obligations for operating expenditure associated with capital projects and identified a number of adjustments (SKM section 6.2.7)
- (h) SKM recommended a reduction in expenditure for other adjustments so overall operating expenditure represent that of an efficient business
- Advice was received from the Department of Human Service on their licence fees and the Department of Sustainability and Environment on the environmental contribution for the regulatory period. Adjustments were made so operating expenditure reflected the Department's advice (Draft Decision section 4.7)

#### 9. Capital expenditure

The Commission has made the following assumptions about capital expenditure forecasts over the regulatory period:

## Table 9Proposed and approved capital expenditure<br/>assumptions

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13				
Proposed capital expenditure	16.44	23.42	22.36	20.19	17.15				
Draft decision – capital expenditure	18.87	21.94	25.04	17.28	19.55				

\$ million in January 2007 prices

The Commission's assumptions reflect the following adjustments to North East Water's proposed capital expenditure forecasts.

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
New administrative office		-4.78	4.78	-	
Bright/Porepunkah Off- stream Storage			0.5	-4.5	4
Beechworth WWTP Upgrade	0.2	-1.7			
Bright/Porepunkah Water Treatment				0.5	-2.5
Wangaratta WWTP Winter Storage Improvement		0.4	-2	1.6	
Myrtleford Water treatment Plant			0.1	0.2	-0.3
Corryong Water Treatment Plant			0.3	-1.5	1.2
Mt Beauty/Tawonga Water Quality Improvement project		0.2	-1	0.8	
Adjustment for 2007-08 deferral	2.24	4.4			
Total ESC Adjustment	2.44	-1.48	2.68	-2.9	2.4

#### Table 10 Adjustments to capital expenditure

\$ million in January 2007 prices

- (a) New administrative office SKM recommended that the expenditure profile for the new administrative office be adjusted by one year to allow North East Water more time to explore options to meet its office space requirements and produce a business case with sufficient rigour to satisfy the Department of Treasury and Finance (SKM, section 5.2).
- (b) Bright/Porepunkah Water Treatment SKM considered that as approximately 70 weeks will be needed to deliver the project, that the expenditure for the program should be spread over three years (SKM, section 5.2).
- (c) Bright/Porepunkah Off stream storage SKM considered that North East Water's description of the design and construction schedule for the new storage did not reflect the expenditure profile of \$8M all spent in 2011-12, but rather over a three year period (SKM, section 5.2).
- (d) Beechworth WWTP Upgrade SKM recommended that as this project is at an early stage of development, and without detailed information and assessment options that provision for \$4.5M should be made and spread over three years (SKM, section 5.2).
- (e) Wangaratta WWTP Winter Storage Improvement SKM recommended that expenditure for this project be re-profiled over a three year period to reflect the program provided by North East Water (SKM, section 5.2).

- (f) Myrtleford Water Treatment Plant SKM recommended that expenditure be spread over three years as opposed to one as proposed by North East Water (SKM, section 5.2).
- (g) Corryong Water Treatment Plant As North East Water indicated that approximately 62 weeks will be needed to deliver the project SKM recommended that the timing of the project be spread over three years as opposed to one year as proposed (SKM, section 5.2).
- (h) Mt Beauty/Tawonga Water Quality Improvements SKM recommended that expenditure be spread over three years as opposed to one as proposed by NorthEast Water (SKM, section 5.2).
- (i) Adjustments for 2007-08 Some adjustments have been made to account for expenditure being deferred from 2007-08 to the next regulatory period.

North East Water has identified the following key capital projects to be undertaken during the regulatory period.

	Expected completion date
New administrative office	2009-10
Bright/Porepunkah Off-stream Storage	2011-12
Beechworth WWTP Upgrade	2009-10
Bright/Porepunkah Water Treatment	2012-13
Wangaratta WWTP Winter Storage Improvement	2010-11
Myrtleford Water treatment Plant	2012-13
Corryong Water Treatment Plant	2011-12
Mt Beauty/Tawonga Water Quality Improvement project	2010-11
Leneva Trunk Sewer	2010-11
Lombah Dam Improvements	2010-11

#### Table 11Key capital projects

#### 10. Demand forecasts

- (a) The Commission has made the following assumptions about demand for various services over the regulatory period.
- (b) The Commission has adjusted proposed demand forecasts where shaded or otherwise indicated.

	of watch co				
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-2013
Residential					
Proposed connections	39,598	40,291	40,967	41,625	42,266
Draft decision — connections	39,598	40,291	40,967	41,625	42,266
Non-residential					
Proposed connections	4,533	4,604	4,676	4,750	4,818
Draft decision — connections	4,533	4,604	4,676	4,750	4,818
Total	44,131	44,895	45,643	46,375	47,084
Draft decision — total connections	44,131	44,895	45,643	46,375	47,084

#### Table 12Number of water connections

#### Table 13Number of sewerage connections

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-2013
Residential					
Proposed connections	35,551	36,184	36,802	37,408	37,983
Draft decision – connections	35,551	36,184	36,802	37,408	37,983
Non-residential					
Proposed connections	3,391	3,447	3,502	3,556	3,608
Draft decision – connections	3,391	3,447	3,502	3,556	3,608
Total	38,942	39,631	40,304	40,964	41,591
Draft decision – total connections	38,942	39,631	40,304	40,964	41,591

### Table 14Residential water consumption<br/>ML

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-2013
Proposed average consumption (kL)	233	229	225	221	217
Draft decision – average consumption (kL)	214	209	234	257	259
Proposed total residential consumption	9,218	9,212	9,205	9,197	9,191
Draft decision – total residential consumption	8,456	8,429	9,578	10,695	10,935

Residential water consumption has been adjusted to reflect revisions by North East Water and PWC's advice on these revisions (see the PWC report). North East

Water (NEW) demand was revised to reflect PwC's view of a medium rainfall outlook going forward and a bounce back in consumption of 70 to 90 per cent over two years. NEW's estimated 'bounce back' from drought was based on consumption unadjusted for growth in customer numbers. PwC considered that a more appropriate method that accounts for connections growth is to apply bounce back to projected per connection consumption and generate final volume estimates based on the adjusted per connection consumption and the customer numbers.

Table 15	Non-residential water consumption
	MI

112					
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-2013
Proposed non-residential consumption	5,019	5,017	5,012	5,011	5,012
Draft decision – non residential consumption	4,471	4,688	5,022	5,403	5,700

Non-residential water consumption has been adjusted to reflect revisions by North East Water and PWC's advice (see the PWC report).

### Table 16 Total water consumption

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-2013
Proposed total consumption	14,237	14,228	14,216	14,208	14,203
Draft decision – total consumption	12,927	13,117	14,600	16,098	16,635

Adjustments to total water consumption reflect revisions by North East Water and PWC's advice on the North East Water's revisions as described above.

#### 11. Form of price control

- (a) The Commission proposes to approve individual price caps for North East Water.
- North East Water should submit a schedule of prices to apply from 1 July 2008, as well as a process in which tariffs can be adjusted on an annual basis.
- (c) North East Water may apply for an adjustment to its prices or tariff strategy at the time of the annual price review. It would have to demonstrate in its application to the Commission that it has clearly articulated a new tariff strategy (or explained how the proposed price changes are consistent with its existing tariff strategy), undertaken appropriate customer consultation and addressed customer impacts. The average annual price increase across the range of tariffs could not be greater than the average increase calculated under a tariff basket approach. The Commission may then approve amended individual price caps for the remainder of the regulatory period.

#### 12. Pass through mechanisms

Businesses may apply to the Commission to adjust their prices either at the end of the regulatory period or during the regulatory period for specified costs where these are materially different from the costs included for the purposes of the Determination. Pass through mechanisms may apply in the following cases:

Prices will be adjusted at the end of the regulatory period to reflect any difference between assumed and actual licence fees levied by the EPA, DHS and the ESC. To avoid any doubt, the assumed licence fees payable for each year of the regulatory period are set out in Table 17.

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-2012	2012-2013
Essential Services Commission	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
Environment Protection Authority	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13
Department of Human Services	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

#### Table 17 Approved licence fee assumptions<sup>a</sup> \$ million in January 2007 prices

<sup>a</sup> Included in forecasts of business as usual operating expenditure.

- (b) Prices will be adjusted at the end of the regulatory period to reflect the additional net operating costs associated with meeting changes in legislative obligations during the regulatory period where:
  - (i) the impact on costs is equivalent to at least 5 per cent of the business's revenue over the regulatory period
  - (ii) changes in costs relate to changes in primary Acts and legislative instruments, including regulations; in taxes (or fees or similar charges) excluding income tax, penalties and interest on taxes, stamp duty, financial institutions duty or similar taxes and levies; to EPA licence requirements; and/or to the Statement of Obligations
  - (iii) the change in legislative obligation was unforeseen and not already reflected in expenditure forecasts
  - (iv) additional capital costs will be rolled into the regulatory asset base at the beginning of the next regulatory period where the expenditure is assessed as being prudent and efficient, and prices will be adjusted to reflect any associated financing costs.
- (c) Prices may be adjusted during the regulatory period to reflect costs associated with catastrophic events, such as fire, earthquake or act of terrorism, where:
  - the impact on costs is so significant as to prevent the business meeting its service requirements and obligations without compromising its financial viability during the period

- (ii) the business can demonstrate that it had taken appropriate steps to plan for or manage the potential impact of such an event.
- (d) Prices may be adjusted during the regulatory period to reflect expenditure relating to major capital projects where:
  - (i) the business has separately identified any uncertain major capital projects and excluded those projects from its expenditure forecasts
  - (ii) the Commission has identified the project as an uncertain major capital project in the Final Determination
  - (iii) the application process for determining the amount, nature and timing of any adjustment will be set out in the Final Determination.
- (e) Prices may be adjusted during the regulatory period to reflect any significant differences between actual and forecast demand levels where:
  - (i) the Commission determines that actual demand levels are significantly different from those forecast for the purposes of the Final Determination
  - (ii) the Commission finds a material impact on the business's revenues.

#### 13. Retail water tariffs

- (a) The Commission proposes to approve North East Water's proposed tariff structure on the basis that it is consistent with the WIRO.
- (b) North East Water is proposing a significant restructuring of tariffs towards variable charges resulting in large price increases for some customers. North East Water should provide further information on its proposals for mitigating customer impacts, particularly with regard to non-residential customers and tenants.

#### 14. Retail sewerage tariffs

The Commission proposes to approve North East Water's proposed tariff structure on the basis that it is consistent with the WIRO.

#### 15. Trade waste charges

- (a) The Commission proposes to generally approve North East Water's proposed trade waste tariff structure on the basis that it is consistent with the WIRO and the Commission's trade waste pricing principles.
- (b) North East Water is required to set out and apply specific trade waste pricing principles to apply to those customers for whom scheduled prices do not apply. The principles should be consistent with the following principles:
  - (i) volumetric and load based prices should, to the extent practicable, reflect the long run marginal cost (LRMC) of trade waste transfer, treatment and disposal

- (ii) the total revenue received from each customer should be greater than the cost that would avoided from ceasing to serve that customer, and (subject to meeting avoidable cost) less than the stand alone cost of providing the service to the customer in the most efficient manner
- (iii) the methodology used to allocate common and fixed costs to that customer should be clearly articulated and be consistent with any guidance provided by the Commission
- (iv) prices should reflect reasonable assumptions regarding the volume and strength of trade waste produced by that customer
- (v) depreciation rates and rates of return used to determine prices should be consistent with those adopted by the Commission in this Determination.
- (vi) Customers should be provided with full details of the manner in which prices have been calculated. Where applying these principles results in significant changes to prices or tariff structures, arrangements for phasing in the changes may be considered and any transitional arrangements should be clearly articulated.

#### 16. Recycled water

- (a) The Commission considers that North East Water should set its recycled water prices according to a set of principles that ensure that prices:
  - (i) have regard to the price of any substitutes and customers' willingness to pay
  - (ii) cover the full cost of providing the service (with the exception of services related to specified obligations or maintaining balance of supply and demand)
  - (iii) include a variable component.
- (b) Where a business does not propose to fully recover the costs associated with recycled water, it must demonstrate to the Commission that:
  - (i) it has assessed the costs and benefits of pursuing the recycled water project
  - (ii) it has clearly identified the basis on which any revenue shortfall is to be recovered
  - (iii) if the revenue shortfall is to be recovered from non-recycled water customers, either that the project is required by 'specified obligations' or that there has been consultation with the affected customers about their willingness to pay for the benefits of increased recycling.

#### 17. Customer contributions

(a) The Commission proposes to approve North East Water's proposed new customer contributions.

(b) Approval is subject to an adjustment clarifying that recycled water services, due to their unique nature, will not be subject to a scheduled charge but rather will be regulated by the Commission's proposed pricing principles for recycled water.

. ..

. .

1.100.00

2,200.00

	\$ per lot		5	
		Category 1ª	Category 2 <sup>b</sup>	Category 3°
Water		550.00	1,100.00	2,200.00

550.00

able 19	New customer contributions charges
	\$ per lot

<sup>a</sup> For developments which are designed in a manner that will have minimal impacts on future water resource demands (lot sizes typically no greater than 450 square meters). b For water sensitive urban developments which will require further investment in infrastructure within a six year period to service these developments (lot sizes typically between 450 and 1,350 square metres). C For developments that will create demand for water resources over and above high-density, water efficient homes (lot sizes typically exceeding 1,350 square metres).

#### 18. Miscellaneous charges

- -

- . .

Sewerage

- -

- North East Water did not identify a core set of miscellaneous services in its (a) Water Plan.
- (b) In response to this Draft Decision, North East Water is required to submit:
  - a core set of miscellaneous services, which would be expected to (i) generate at least 75 per cent of miscellaneous revenue
  - proposed prices for core miscellaneous services for 2008-09 and the (ii) manner in which they will be adjusted over the regulatory period
  - (iii) a brief definition of each core miscellaneous service, describing the nature of the service provided and the circumstances when the charge will apply.